

Today's presentation I would like to make (time permitting) of three parts. First, I'll tell a little bit (and just a little bit) about the history of the *Protocols*. Both versions: kosher and non-kosher, which means I'll touch upon how it is presented by the Zionist Jews themselves, by those who claim that the *Protocols* are fake (forgery, plagiarism, and so on), and also version presented by those who do believe that they are authentic. No, I'm not going to go deep into details and I'm not going to quote from the *Protocols*, because, of course, we don't have time for that, and there are some very good resources available on the Internet for those who want to learn more. I put together a list of such resources, and all Internet addresses are on that little piece of paper that I gave you. There are also some books included, and all of them are available here at our University of Oregon library. After this short excursion through the history of the *Protocols*, I will move onto my own and other people's opinion regarding their importance. And, as a background there will be a slideshow. And, I hope, we will finish this session by listening to very important audio recoding of short lecture by the late Dr. William L. Pierce, who explained everything in much more clear and powerful way than I ever could or would...

So, what is this best-selling political tract of the 20th century, the reading of which carried the death penalty in Bolshevist Russia? It was lauded by Henry Ford and Winston Churchill, and then also loudly condemned for the past 60 or so years, since it was briefly declared an anti-Semitic forgery (in a Swiss court in 1935, but later overturned by the Appeals Court in 1937)? **WHY so much fuss about it? WHY do the Zionist Jews so persistently try to suppress it?**

The *Protocols* are in fact a series of 24 mostly articulate, well-argued lectures outlining a plan for world domination, with sharp political and social analysis, lots of Machiavelli and a Marxian sophistication in its understanding of capitalism and historical processes. Briefly, it outlines a plan of world conquest by first establishing world government by consent. Therefore, understandably, as with any brilliant political analysis, it has been denounced, dismissed, misinterpreted, and even banned...

The first edition of this remarkable book was published exactly hundred years ago, in 1905, in Russia. It quickly disappeared from circulation and attracted little or no attention. One copy nevertheless reached the British Museum in 1906 and was catalogued under the number 3926 D. 17.

As the article in London *Times* stated on August 17, 1921:

“These documents attracted only a little attention before the Revolution of 1917. The astounding breakdown of a great state due to attack by Bolsheviks and the presence of countless Jews among them, had the result that many people were looking for – reasonable explanations of the catastrophe. The

Protocols furnished this explanation, especially as the tactics of the Bolsheviks at many points, were identical with the recommendations of the Protocols.”

Therefore, later, during the whole 20th century, the *Protocols* were published in many countries although powerful efforts were made to prevent their publication. In fact, the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion* is perhaps the most tabooed book in the world... Also, next to the Bible, the *Protocols* are perhaps the mostly widely read book in the world!!!

The *Protocols* were described as a hoax. The interesting point here is that there is no evidence or proof neither that it is a hoax, nor that it is authentic. If one looks into any encyclopedia he will find the words “forgery”, “hoax” or “fraudulent” in regards to this document without the evidence for such a statement.

Now, a sensational article appeared in the London *Times* of May 8, 1921, from which the following quotation is taken:

“What do they mean, those Protocols? Are they genuine? Has a gang of criminals really drawn up such plans and is triumphing over their fulfillment? Are they a forgery? But how can one explain then this terrible prophetic gift that foretold all this before hand? Did we fight all these years to destroy the world power of Germany only to find ourselves now facing a much more dangerous enemy? Have we saved ourselves through enormous efforts from PAX GERMANICA only to fall a victim to PAX JUDAICA?”

The *Times* concludes this article with the following significant words:

“If the Protocols were written by the Learned Elders of Zion then everything that was attempted and done against the Jews, is justified, necessary and urgent”

Some weighty words!

Is there any wonder then that the powerful influences against which this terrible indictment is directed, made all possible efforts to bring this document into discredit? Clever steps were undertaken to prove that the *Protocols* were forgeries. The Zionist Jews have again and again disputed their authenticity.

Here is a little bit of such official (Jewish) version of *Protocols*’ history.

We are told that the *Protocols* is a hoax, a “proven forgery” concocted by the Tsarist Political Police (the Okhrana) to incite anti-Semitism and discredit revolutionaries. But the only “proof” for that is far from convincing. It consists only of three articles published, again, in the London *Times* (on the 16th, 17th and

18th of August 1921) and written by Philip Graves who was *Times*' reporter in Constantinople. In these series of articles Graves asserts that the *Protocols* are only a clumsy fraud produced by a conscienceless plagiarist who paraphrased (chapter-by-chapter) another book, published in Geneva and Brussels, in 1864-1865. That book called *Dialogues in Hell Between Machiavelli and Montesquieu* and written by a "Frenchman" named Maurice Joly. The *Times* published several passages from both books (from *Protocols* and *Dialogues*) in parallel columns thus supposedly "proving beyond any doubt" the spiritual kinship between them. The forgery version seemed to be well established. It was easy to make this claim while Joly's book was unavailable. Napoleon III's police confiscated it as soon as it was published.

OK, the crux of Graves' argument is that certain references and passages in *Protocols* were lifted from *Dialogues*. He claims there are 50 of these and produces about a dozen. Their striking resemblance to *Protocols*, yes, leaves little doubt that the author did refer to the *Dialogues* as part of his research. He had no compunction about borrowing or reshaping a few passages that struck his fancy. Indeed Philip Graves, as he wrote, was "struck by the absence of any effort on the part of the plagiarist to conceal his plagiarisms." That's because he (who wrote the *Protocols*) had nothing to hide!

Now, the *Times* emphasized carefully its standing in regard to the Jewish opinion as being absolutely non-partisan and pretended to have exposed this "remarkable forgery" for the sake of the truth only, as it was very important that this "legend" of the *Protocols* shall disappear as soon as possible and for ever... Indeed so, since the matter in question is of tremendous importance! The last of the *Times*' articles terminated with the following words: "The fact that we have to do with a plagiarism, only, is definitely established. Let the Legend now become a matter of the past." But this pious wish failed to materialize. There are many circumstances that make it impossible to accept the assertion of the *Times* as a final decision. As Shakespeare would say, something is rotten in Kingdom of Denmark ... And if we investigate we make some interesting discoveries.

For example, *National Tidsskrift*, newspaper in Oslo, Norway, in one of its July issues of year 1922, reported that a certain JEWISH banker acquired the control of the London *Times* at the time when these series of articles appeared. This statement to my knowledge was never refuted.

And, to me, Graves' articles smack of a Zionist propaganda operation. Graves' "expose" of *Protocols* appeared in August 1921 when Zionists were pressing the League of Nations to turn Palestine into a Jewish homeland under British Mandate. Quite a "coincidence"!

The *Times* proclaimed triumphantly that "indisputable evidence" was produced!!!

Is that so? How wonderful...

The *Times* explains that the “forgery” was discovered “accidentally.” If true then it was a very lucky “accident,” considering the great importance of the question involved. According to Philip Graves, in Constantinople, where he was the *Times*’ reporter, he became acquainted, by mere luck, with a certain Russian. (Wishing to remain incognito, he was referred to only as “Mr. X”) This mysterious stranger handed the correspondent of the *Times* the particular booklet, written by Maurice Joly, which made it possible to discover the “forgery.” Mr. X presented it as “irrefutable proof” that the *Protocols* are a plagiarism. He claims he bought the book from, get this, “a former member of the Okhrana” who had fled to Constantinople. The whole story seems to be somewhat dark and romantic. No evidence was produced that Mr. Graves ever met that Russian in Constantinople. The identity of “Mr. X” was never revealed.

Why so much mystery about it?

How did the long since forgotten Joly book find its way to Russia? That problem was never solved. Several untenable theories were advanced. The mind of the reader was switched at lightning speed from Constantinople to St. Petersburg and from Corsica to London. ... so that the British “goyim” readers of the *Times* became dizzy and unable to keep in step, remaining far back in ignorance. The game was won! (By the way, you can read all those articles on the Internet. Address is available on the list which I gave.)

Well, in his famous book, *The Controversy of Zion* (Chapter 34), Douglas Reed, also *Times*’ correspondent at the time, provides additional background.

In May 1920, Lord Northcliffe, a part owner of the *Times*, printed an article about the *Protocols* entitled “The Jewish Peril, a Disturbing Pamphlet, a Call for an Enquiry.” It concluded:

“An impartial investigation of these would-be documents and their history is most desirable ... are we to dismiss the whole matter without inquiry and to let the influence of such a book as this work unchecked?”

Then in May 1921 Northcliffe visited Palestine and wrote that Britain had been too hasty to promise it to the Jews when in fact it belonged to 700,000 Muslim Arab residents. Mr. Wickham Steed, the editor of the *Times* of London, for some reason, refused to print the article and Northcliffe tried to get him fired. Somehow Steed was able to have Northcliffe declared “insane”... Later Northcliffe complained he was being poisoned and then died suddenly in 1922. Wow! Again just mere coincidence???

Douglas Reed actually was Northcliffe’s secretary but didn’t learn of these events until they appeared in the *Official History of the Times* in the 1950s.

Clearly Northcliffe had offended some “big boys” when he opposed the British Mandate in Palestine. Thus, one man who had enough power and will to

challenge the *Protocols* and Zionism on an international stage to an audience of millions, who was committed to illuminating the world as to the true agenda, had been removed.

Now, if all that supposed “evidence” regarding the Protocols being nothing but “forgery” is properly scrutinized and subjected to serious investigation the only solid substance that is left is the fact that one of the books represents an overwriting and further development of the other one. **Under these circumstances can the ugly word “forgery” be applied???**

Such a conclusion is simply ridiculous when we consider that the Bible, for example, contain many parallel passages. A further development and widening of a certain text can not be classified as “forgery,” otherwise every preacher who quotes a passage from the Bible without mentioning the verse and chapter would also be considered as a forger and plagiarist. Is the Gospel of Luke a plagiary of the Gospel of Matthew or Mark? Suppose that those passages were printed in parallel columns (as was the case with the *Protocols* and the book of Joly) the result would be just as convincing that one of the Scriptures is a paraphrase of the other. Would this justify the statement that a clumsy fraud was concocted and that one of the Scriptures was a forgery? It is as clear as daylight that no plagiarism was committed. You can get a book called *Gospel Parallels* that shows you side by side all of the common quotes in the Gospels. In fact Gospel Parallels is now online somewhere. The Bible’s writers were using in their writings material with which they were familiar beforehand. Shall the Jews continue to insist on a “forgery” then also their own Biblical Prophets shall be accused as they committed the same “crime.”

Still, today the *Protocols* are loudly dismissed, yes, as a forgery. **But...** It was anonymous, so how can it be a forgery. Who forged what? In that case we can dismiss the Bible as a forgery. And who cares who wrote the Psalms anyway? They stand on their own merits...

So if the *Protocols* are fake then call them fake. Why is it always referred now to as a “forgery” as if it were an alteration of something the elusive “Elders of Zion” actually wrote? If it’s a fake, call it a fake, not a forgery! Their declaration as a forgery proves them to be true, because a forgery is an UNAUTHORIZED COPY.

Well, no wonder the Swiss Appeals Court, in 1937, threw the case out...

Now let us continue our quick historic investigation. The path we are following is getting warmer – **and we step on dangerous soil!** Let us find out who was the writer identified as Maurice Joly. The mysterious “Mr X” (from Constantinople) and *Times*’ reporter Mr. Graves “incidentally” paid no attention to his real identity. Who was this “Frenchman,” the author of the *Dialogues in Hell*? This problem is solved by Gottfried zur Beck in his preface to the German edition

of the *Protocols*. In which we find that Maurice Joly, according to the record, was really **Moses Joel!!! How strange!**

OK, now it does not require a Sherlock Holmes in the realm of literary science to prove that the construction of the *Protocols* was from within and not from outside sources.

The highly remarkable book *Waters Flowing Eastward*, written by Pequita de Shishmareff, who wrote under the name of L. Fry, and edited by the Reverend Denis Fahey, contains much valuable information regarding Maurice Joly (Moses Joel). In the "Memoirs of Rene Mareuil," (who was one of the members of the Ministerial Cabinet of Polignac of France) is stated that Maurice Joly was employed in 1848 in the ministry at Chebreau as some minor employee. The young man was strongly influenced by Adolph Israel Crémieux (the founder of the Alliance Israelite Universelle.) Then, Mr. Joly (or Joel) wrote a satire in which two posthumous voices, Niccolo di Bernardo Machiavelli, the kingmaking philosopher of the Italian Renaissance, advanced the case for political expediency and sly statesmanship and Charles-Louis de Secondat, baron de La Brede et de Montesquieu, France's clear-thinking libertarian philosopher, argued the liberal line. This book, *Dialogue aux enfers entre Machiavel et Montesquieu* (Dialogue in Hell Between Machiavelli and Montesquieu) was published in Geneva in 1864, then in Brussels. Since it was illegal to criticize the monarchy, Joly had the book printed in Belgium, then tried to smuggle it back into France. The police confiscated as many copies as they could, and it was banned. After it was traced to Joly, he was arrested, tried on April 25, 1865, and sentenced to fifteen months in prison. And his life thereafter was a succession of failures that he finally found intolerable. In 1878 he committed suicide and at his burial the Léon Gambetta (former prime minister of France), who was himself Jewish, delivered a post-mortem speech. Joly's book, by the way, now is available (in French only) on the Internet, at Project Gutenberg website. And, the book that I mentioned, *Waters Flowing Eastward*, also is available on the Internet. (Both addresses are on the list.)

What are the conclusion that can be drawn from the foregoing information?

Critics of the *Protocols'* genuineness have claimed that they were a forgery, derived from earlier documents and used as propaganda against the Jews by their opponents. As I hope I have shown here, the documents most certainly do derive from an earlier common source, but that does not make them forgeries, any more than latest encyclopedia can be condemned as a deliberate forgery because previous works of an almost identical nature already exist.

And, in my opinion, again, the *Protocols* have nothing whatsoever to do with the Russian Secret police, as Philip Graves' article in the London *Times* infers.

The *Dialogues* from which the *Protocols* were later “plagiarized” were not a creation of an anti-Semite, but on the contrary they represent the **Quintessence of Jewish mind**. Moreover, the development of ideas of this book is extracted from a still older work, thus proving Joly to be a plagiarist himself. *Machiavelli, Montesquieu and Rousseau* is the title of this older book, published by Franz Duncker at Berlin in 1850. It also contains passages very similar to the *Protocols*. The author of this book was also the Jew, Jacob Venedey. He was born in 1805 in Cologne, Germany; expelled from Germany he settled in Paris in 1835... Prosecuted by the police for his subversive activities, he was protected and defended (as was the case with Joly) by **Isaac Moise Crémieux**, better known as **Adolphe Crémieux** who was the founder of the Alliance Israelite Universelle. Venedey, by the way, was also an intimate friend of Karl Marx. With Marx’s assistance he organized in 1847 The Communist Workers League. In 1843 he visited England and organized another secret society having as a purpose the promotion of Jewish supremacists’ world domination. Thus it is definitely proved that both books that served as a foundation for the *Protocols*, were written by Jews, both of whom were friends of the founder of the Alliance Israelite Universelle, Adolphe Crémieux. Crémieux is a key figure to look at because he had known contacts with two most prominent Zionist Rabbis of the period: Rav Avraham Yitzkhak Kook of the Ashkenazi Jews and Rav Ben Ish Hai of the Eastern Sephardic community. Both Rabbis are known to have met Crémieux in Constantinople on a number of occasions. There are documented statements from both Kook and the Ben Ish Hai that mirror the *Protocols*! This is what I find most fascinating.

Venedey’s book is available in some libraries — I have seen it — but there’s no English translation, and it’s written in the old Gothic German script, which few can read.

Under these circumstances it can not be doubted that both books from which the *Protocols* allegedly were “plagiarized” are the product of Jewish thought. Anyone who will study carefully these books should be very much surprised to hear the Zionist Jews now whining about them being innocently persecuted and forced to defend themselves against “Lies & Defamation.” And, as I just said, there can be no talk about “forgery” whatsoever, as we have to do only with slightly different versions of ideas coming from the same powerful political and economical circle of influence.

One easily understands the “aims” of this “league” if he reads carefully, for example, the letter written by the Jew Baruch Levy to Karl Marx (another Jew). Here is what he writes:

“The Jewish people taken collectively will be its own Messiah. His reign over the Universe will be obtained by the unification of the human races and through the elimination of frontiers. A Universal Republic will come into being in which the Sons of Israel will become the directing element. We know how to dominate the Masses. The governments of all nations will gradually fall, THROUGH VICTORY OF THE PROLETARIAT, INTO THE HANDS OF JUDAH. All private property will become the possession of the PRINCES OF ISRAEL — they will own the wealth of all lands. Thus will be realized the promise of the TALMUD that when the time of the Messiah comes the Jews will hold under their keys the property of all the peoples of the world.”

The spiritual relation between this letter and the *Protocols* is exactly the same as between an acorn and an oak.

HISTORICAL FACTS

Now, let’s also explore (at least a little bit) also unofficial (non-kosher) version of history of the *Protocols*.

A Jewish Weekly *Judische Pressezentrale*, published in Zurich, Switzerland, claimed in its issue of December 15, 1933, that the *Protocols* were fabricated by the Russian secret police in 1905 shortly after the Japanese war. That Jewish assertion is simply ridiculous. How is it possible that a document, which existed 20 years previously, in three languages, could be concocted in 1905 — a document with which several persons were already familiar?

Those of you who read the *Protocols* know that they represent a strategical plan, an assembly of documents, which were kept secret for at least some time. The book, which attracted so much attention throughout the whole world was allegedly compiled and edited by the KAHAL, the secret Jewish Government.

Myself, no, I don’t think it’s true, but many people say so. L. Fry, the author of *Waters Flowing Eastward*, for example, says that the actual editorial work was done by Asher Ginzberg, also known as Ahad Ha-Am. This very important Jewish leader (also used to be known locally in Odessa as “King of the Jews”) was one of the four Jews who forced Balfour to make known the declaration of November 2, 1917, through which the Zionists obtained a “National Home” in Palestine. Herewith the Zionists achieved one of the aims contained in the *Protocols*.

Asher Ginzberg was born in the township of Skvira, in Ukraine, in 1856, the son of a Jewish tax collector. He received an excellent Talmudic education. Early in life he became prominent in Jewish literature for his articles, which he signed Ahad Ha-Am. Ginzberg settled in Odessa, in 1886. In 1889 he organized a secret society known as “B’nai Moshe” (Sons of Moses). The meetings of this secret society were held in his house. It is through persons who lived in Odessa at that

time that information was obtained that a manuscript of the *Protocols* in the Jewish language was circulated among the Jews. The Jew Bernstein, publisher of the *Free Press* in Detroit, Michigan, admitted in the presence of William Cameron secretary to Henry Ford, that **HE HAD PERSONALLY READ THE PROTOCOLS IN THE JEWISH LANGUAGE IN ODESSA.**

Now let us follow up the destiny of the French translation. One copy of the *Protocols* was allegedly kept in the Masonic Lodge of “Miz’raim.” One member of this lodge, the Jew Joseph Schorst — alias Shapiro — became a traitor to his secret organization and sold the document for 2,500 francs to Mademoiselle Justina Glinka, daughter of a Russian general. This young woman who was employed by the Russian foreign intelligence service, sent the French copy together with the Russian translation to General Orgensky in St. Petersburg, with the request to pass it on to her superior General Cherevin, who was the Minister of the Interior and whose duty it was to take action accordingly. But Gen. Cherevin was deeply entangled financially with rich and powerful Jews and did not dare to fulfill such a dangerous mission. He pigeonholed the document in his archives, where it was found after his death in 1896. (The Jew Schorst was obliged to flee for his life, but was murdered in Egypt.) Meantime there appeared in Paris certain books on Russian court life which displeased the Tsar, who ordered his secret police to discover their authorship. This was falsely attributed, perhaps with malicious intent, to Mademoiselle Glinka, and on her return to Russia she was banished to her estate in district of Orel. There she met the Governor General of this district, Alexis Sukhotin and handed him also a copy of the Protocols, pointing out that Syapyagin (Russia’s Minister of the Interior) had been murdered for attempting to check the Jewish revolutionary activities. Sukhotin showed the document to two friends, Philip Stepanov and Sergius Nilus; the former had it printed and circulated privately in 1897; the second, Professor Sergius A. Nilus, published it for the first time in Tsarskoe-Tselo (Russia) in 1905, in a book entitled *The Great Within the Small*. Then, about the same time, a friend of Nilus, G. Butmi, also brought it out and a copy was deposited in the British Museum on **August 10, 1906 (So it’s almost exactly 100 years ago).**

This information is mostly drawn from L. Fry’s work *Waters Flowing Eastward*. It is corroborated by a statement made by Philip Stepanov, Chamberlain, Privy Councillor and former Procurator of the Holy Synod at Moscow; it is witnessed by Prince Dimitri Galitzin. In this evidential document, delivered on April 17th 1927, Stepanov states he received the M. S. of the Protocols in 1895 from Major Sukhotin. He had them printed privately and gave a copy to A. T. Kelepotovsky, chief of Grand Duke Sergius’ household. After reading them, the Grand Duke sighed and murmured; “TOO LATE!” He was assassinated shortly afterward.

Now, it has been often asserted that the *Protocols*, which reads like a strategic plan for the conquest of the world and domination by Israel were first read at the First Zionist Congress in 1897 at Basle, Switzerland. The Jews, of course, always deny it most vigorously and use as an argument the fact that the official record of the Congress makes no mention of it. That sort of argument has no value at all, when one takes into consideration that the furious differences between Asher Ginzberg and Theodor Herzl were also not mentioned in the record. The officially published record is incomplete and does not possess any convincing power in that respect. The secret-record of the Zionist Congress in Basle was secured by the Russian Government through the efforts of secret service agent Ratchkovsky, who bought it from two Jews Evno Asev and Rabbi From. The latter sought later security in a monastery in Yugoslavia, where he died in 1925. When the secret record of the Basle Congress was examined by the Russian police it was discovered to their great surprise that the acquired documents were almost identical with the *Protocols*. Ratchkovsky also died suddenly under “mysterious circumstances,” shortly after making an important report to the Chief of Gendarmes, General Kourloff. Kourloff was convinced that Ratchkovsky was murdered.

In January 1917, Nilus had prepared a second edition, revised and documented, for publication. But before it could be put on the market, the revolution of March 1917 had taken place, and Kerensky, who had succeeded to power, ordered the whole edition of Nilus’s book to be destroyed. In 1924, Prof. Nilus was arrested by the Cheka in Kiev, imprisoned, and tortured; he was told by the Jewish judge that this treatment was meted out to him for “having done them incalculable harm in publishing the *Protocols*”. Released for a few months, he was again arrested, was subjected to inhuman tortures by the Cheka and died on January 13, 1929.

That’s non-kosher history. And, I think it’s enough of history.

Now, let’s move from mystical and mythical “Elders of Zion” to reality. When the *Protocols* first were published, in Russian, exactly 100 years ago, there was an organization at that time in Russia, an organization of Russia’s patriots that Zionist Jews feared and hated very much. For some reason that organization was called the “black hundred” (*chernaya sotnya*) and you will find in all kosherized history books today that the members of the “black hundred” were somehow responsible for most of the pogroms. I’m not going to go deeper into historical details, but what I want to do now, while I’ll be talking about the importance of the *Protocols*, and quoting various people who said various things about the *Protocols*, I will show also a presentation of the real black hundred. It’ll serve as a background (with minimal commentary) to what I’m talking. Only names and faces (and proof of some deeds)... One person for each year that passed since the

Protocols were published for the first time in Russia. **We have to name the names and show the faces...** These are not some mysterious “Elders of Zion,” not some underground conspirators, but real movers and shakers of history. Each one of them, in some way (not necessarily only negative), was or is responsible for fulfilling prophecies of the *Protocols*.

The *Protocols* gained widespread (and worldwide) recognition upon their translation into English, in 1920. Much to the chagrin of world Jewry, who immediately began the propaganda bandwagon rolling... “Probably so much money and energy were never before in history expended on the effort to suppress a single document,” said Douglas Reed. The period of 1920s “marks the end of the time when the Jewish question could be impartially openly discussed in public.” (Reed, *The Controversy of Zion*)

As I said, the often cited “fact” that the *Protocols* are a “proven fraud,” is easily dismissed, as it is actually entirely untrue and based upon a very specific court case. Numerous unsuccessful attempts had been made by world Jewry to have the *Protocols* denounced as a forgery. But it was not until 1933 that any legal action was taken in this respect. On 26th June, 1933, the Federation of Jewish Communities of Switzerland and the Berne Jewish Community brought an action against five members of the Swiss National Front, seeking a judgment that the *Protocols* were a forgery and a prohibition of their publication. The procedure of the Court was astounding, the provisions of the Swiss Civil Code being deliberately set aside. Sixteen witnesses called by the plaintiffs were heard, but only one of the forty witnesses called by the defendants was allowed a hearing. The judge allowed the plaintiffs to appoint two private stenographers to keep the register of proceedings during the hearing of their witnesses, instead of entrusting the task to a Court official. In view of these and similar irregularities, it was not surprising that, after the case had lasted just on two years, the Court pronounced the *Protocols* to be a forgery and demoralizing literature. The decision was given on 14th May, 1935, but it was announced in the Jewish Press before it was delivered by the Court. On 1st November, 1937, the Swiss Court of Criminal Appeal quashed this judgment in its entirety. Jewish propagandists, however, still declare that the *Protocols* have been “proved” to be a forgery.

The fact remains, that the *Protocols* are not a proven forgery. The theory that they are a forgery has not been proven, contrary to the Jews’ hysterical claims.

The Jews pretend that the *Protocols* were concocted by two members of the secret police of Russia. **Suppose, but the whole prophetic program has since turned into reality!!!** How was it possible for two Russian police officials to alter completely the face of the whole world, to overthrow thrones and to destroy empires? How did they succeed in accumulating all the gold of the world in their hands, to ruin entire nations and to muzzle the press???

Today, yes, many people do think *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion* is anti-Semitic “hate literature” and a fraud. But Nobel Prize winning novelist Alexander Solzhenitsyn wrote that the book exhibits “the mind of genius.” Pretty good for a hoax, would you say?

The difficulty of the *Protocols* is in an uncanny dissonance between its uncouth language and deep social and religious thought. It is a rude parody-like rendering of a satanic, subtle and well-thought out plan, wrote Solzhenitsyn [*Evrei v SSSR i v buduschei Rossii*, 2001 (in Russian)] in his (written in 1966 and published in 2001) analysis of the *Protocols*:

“The *Protocols* show a blueprint of a social system. Its design is well above abilities of an ordinary mind, including that of its publisher. It is a dynamic process of two stages, of destabilization, increasing freedom and liberalism, which is terminated in social cataclysm, and on the second stage, new hierarchical restructuring of society takes place. It is more complicated than a nuclear bomb. It could be a stolen and distorted plan designed by a mind of genius. Its putrid style of an anti-Semitic grubby brochure [intentionally] obscures the great strength of thought and insight.”

Solzhenitsyn is aware of faults of the *Protocols*:

“Its style is that of a filthy leaflet, the powerful line of thought is broken and fragmented, mixed up with ill-smelling incantations and psychological blunders. The system described is not necessarily connected with the Jews; it could be purely Masonic or whatever; while its strongly anti-Semitic current is not an organic part of the design”.

Solzhenitsyn makes a textual experiment, removes words “Jews,” “Goyim” and “conspiracy” and finds many disturbing ideas. He concludes: “The text demonstrates impressive foresight on the two systems of society, the Western and the Soviet one. While a strong thinker could possibly predict the development of the West in 1901, how could he grasp the Soviet future?”

Solzhenitsyn braved the Soviet regime, dared to write and publish the mammoth *Archipelago Gulag*, an indictment of the Soviet repression, but even he stalled and did not publish his research of the *Protocols*. He asked it to be published after his death only, and it was printed against his will in a very small number of copies in 2001.

The *Protocols* identify the moving force of the New World Order with a powerful group of extremely chauvinist, manipulative and domination-obsessed Jewish supremacist leaders. The leaders, according to the *Protocols*, despise

ordinary community members; they utilize and support anti-Semitism as the means to keep their “lesser brethren”, innocent ordinary folk of Jewish origin, in thrall to their rule. The leaders are described as pathological goy haters, bent on destroying culture and traditions of other nations while preserving their own. Their goal is to create world government and rule the homogenized and globalized world.

Their aims and intentions are stated in extremely contrarian and obnoxious way. Solzhenitsyn concluded that no sane person would deliver his favourite ideas in such self-demeaning and self-defeating way. “We extract gold from their blood and tears”, “our power is based on workers’ hunger”, “revolutionaries are our human tools”, “brutish minds of Goyim” are, in his opinion, words ascribed to the Jews by their enemies. A Jew would rather put such ideas in an oblique way, he felt.

Well, it is not a water-tight argument. Some people speak in oblique way, others prefer a direct one. David Ben Gurion, the first Prime-Minister of the Jewish state, for example, coined an equally arrogant maxim: “Who cares what Goyim say? What matters is what the Jews do!” This sentence is an almost direct quote from the *Protocols*.

The *Protocols* ascribe to the Elders a saying, “Each Jewish victim is worth in the sight of God a thousand goyim”... This line, a pinnacle of arrogance, is not a vain invention of an anti-Semite. Two ministers of Sharon’s government, Uri Landau and Ivet Lieberman demanded to kill one thousand Palestinian goyim for each Jewish victim. A Jewish extremist at a rally for the Jewish Temple Mount (Nov. 18, 2002) called each Jew to kill one thousand Palestinian goyim. Apparently, some ideas of the *Protocols* are not foreign to some Jews.

The late Israeli scholar Israel Shahak and an American Jewish writer Norton Mezvinsky present in their book, *Jewish Fundamentalism in Israel*, a plethora of sayings by Jewish Rabbis that wouldn’t be out of place in the *Protocols*. “The difference between a Jewish soul and souls of non-Jews is greater and deeper than the difference between a human soul and the souls of cattle” (p. ix). Shahak and Mezvinsky proved the rage of the Jewish chauvinists does not differentiate between Palestinians, Arabs and Goyim in general. In other words, whatever happened to Palestinians could happen to any Gentile community standing on the way of the Jews.

And though they claim that the *Protocols* are forgeries (the definition of forgery is an unauthorized copy of the original!), and have spent a fortune to suppress and discredit them, even making it illegal to possess them in some countries, they have never attempted to address or answer the real question that the existence of such a document raises: Have they fulfilled the *Protocols* while claiming they did not write them? **Yes.**

Then, what is the difference whether they wrote them or not?

Numerous scholars have noted the correspondence between the prophecies of the *Protocols* and their fulfillment. We can see the *Protocols* being carried out word-by-word in the world-power the Jews at the top have achieved. These Jews at the top, the ones who are conspirators against all of mankind today and always have gained power and maintain it through world domination and control of all means of communication (the media.) What these Jewish power brokers have attained today is too glaring to be set aside or deemed as merely coincidental.

Indeed, if the *Protocols* would have no relation to reality, they probably wouldn't be as popular as they are.

Have you ever noticed that Protocol deniers never attack the tenets that are actually being laid out in the *Protocols*? Only their existence?!?

As already stated, their authenticity cannot be proven either. It would be best to stay clear of theories and simply look at events. Events are plain enough and speak loudly enough.

One may shrug off the *Protocols* as “forgeries” (of what?), but one cannot deny that their prophecies are being fulfilled...

If the *Protocols* are fraudulent, I would like to hear them explained. They aren't like, say, the writings of Nostradamus, which are so vague and convoluted that they can be interpreted to mean anything. The *Protocols*, whatever they are, ARE happening. That is impossible to deny.

We talk about it because there is nothing written today which more clearly explains current events.

One who has digested the *Protocols* cannot look out into the world without seeing the fulfillment of that much maligned document. Having read the *Protocols* many, many times, I still can't give a truly plausible opinion as to whether they're genuine or fraudulently authored. But I can honestly say that whoever the authors may have been, they were prophetically accurate. For spurious shots in the air to hit a target so many times on centre seems little short of miraculous.

Therefore, my point of view is, yes, that the question of who wrote it is not all that important. What is important is that it is clearly seen as having transpired. A person would have to be well-nigh brain-dead not to realize the awesome success of some brilliantly organized purpose in bringing all men to the state they are in today. The document itself is amazing in its theoretical design. If it were simply created for show, it was created by genius since in it there is seen clear understanding of how human beings are manipulated.

On February 17, 1921, very influential at that time American newspaper, *The New York World*, published an interview with Henry Ford, in the course of which he was asked: “Is your belief that the Jews are endeavoring to control the world based in any degree on the so-called *Protocols*... said to have been formulated by the Elders of Zion? You know, of course, that these have been

denounced as forgeries or inventions. Do you believe they are genuine?” Ford replied: “The only statement I care to make about the Protocols is that they fit in with what is going on. They are sixteen years old and have fitted the world situation up to this time. They fit it now.” **Indeed they do!**

So even if you don't believe in the authenticity of this remarkable document, one thing you can't deny is their fulfillment. You can toss the *Protocols* out the window if you want, but you can't deny the fact that everything they plotted, planned and predicted has either already happened, or is happening now. The ideas of power development depicted in it move on our contemporary stage, play the parts foretold and produce the events foreseen. **This is the greatest proof of their authenticity: That they are now fulfilled.**

Not only does this document illuminate the reason for the massive success of international Zionism, but it also provides profound insight into every single political situation of the last century and sheds great light on much of what has transpired for the past 100 years on the world stage. Stunningly, virtually everything planned for and predicted in the Protocols has provably come to pass. This document is as pertinent today as it was when it first came to light in 1905.

The *Protocols* at their penning and discovery looks, yes, kind of fishy to me, but since the Jews seem to be following them (intentionally or not), it makes the *Protocols* legitimate by default.

Hitler, for example, said it very well that if the *Protocols* weren't written by Jews they were written by someone who knew Jewish thinking... Here is a quotation from *Mein Kampf* (Vol. I, Chapter XI):

“... To what extent the whole existence of this people is based on a continuous lie is shown incomparably by the Protocols of the Wise Men of Zion, so infinitely hated by the Jews. They are based on a forgery, the Frankfurter Zeitung moans and screams once every week: the best proof that they are authentic. What many Jews may do unconsciously is here consciously exposed. And that is what matters. It is completely indifferent from what Jewish brain these disclosures originate; the important thing is that with positively terrifying certainty they reveal the nature and activity of the Jewish people and expose their inner contexts as well as their ultimate final aims. The best criticism applied to them, however, is reality. Anyone who examines the historical development of the last hundred years from the standpoint of this book will at once understand the screaming of the Jewish press.” [Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, Translated by Ralph Mannheim (Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1943), pp. 307-308.]

Now, if Adolf Hitler sounds too extreme for you, here I have various quotations from writings of great variety of people (Jews and Gentiles):

“The ‘controversy’ about who wrote the Protocols continues. However, the obvious, practical conclusion is that it doesn’t make any difference WHO wrote them. The argument about authorship and hoax theories are utterly MOOT. This ‘controversy’ is usually seated among people who have NOT read them. Anyone I know who has actually read them has no doubt about them and what they represent. The Protocols, no matter who penned them, are the key to understanding the current collapse of our civilization. ... I have just reread the Protocols once again (maybe for the tenth time). And, as always, I remain amazed...absolutely AMAZED. Each time I reread it, I am discovering new implementations of the Protocols, and how the protocols are slowly confiscating our properties, eroding our economies and the civil rights of the populations in western countries, and the stupid Goyim STILL do not realise it. ... NO ONE can claim to be informed about the current state of the world WITHOUT having read the Protocols. “Protocols of Zion — A Non-Zionist Jewish Perspective”, Letter to the Editor, By Joseph Weinstein, 6-26-2005, <<http://www.rense.com/general66/proto.htm>>]

“So why does it still work? If you are living in the occupied territories and Israeli tanks and bull dozers destroy your home and Israeli bullets kill your children on the streets during the intifada, I can see why this text and its message appeals. How can you explain the way in which Israel can continue to get away with what it does? It can also serve to motivate you to join the struggle.” [“The enduring attraction of the Protocols of Zion” by Brian Brivati, Guardian (London), Apr 24, 2006]

In 1986 Yajima Kinji, professor of political science at the Aoyama Gakuin University, published a book about how to read the “hidden meaning of the Jewish protocols.” He called the Protocols the most mysterious document of the twentieth century, because all its prophecies had been fulfilled, in spite of its being regarded as a forgery. Yajima advised the Japanese to take the Protocols seriously in order to be prepared for the future. His book was a great success with fifty-five printings.

Simon Jones, who is a Canadian journalist living writing for *Peace Magazine* (Toronto) and who has many published articles in Counterpunch and YellowTimes.org:

“What immediately struck me was that with a little dusting off, abridging and updating, it could easily be the handbook of the neocons. With the wonders of modern computers, you can download a free copy from the Internet, cleanse it of anti-Semitism by replacing “fellow Jews” with “neocons” and make sense of what’s happening in the world today.” [Simon Jones, “The Protocols - a Neocon Manifesto,” Dissident Voice website, August 21, 2003, <http://www.dissidentvoice.org/Articles7/Jones_Protocols-Neocons.htm>]

Following is from a text of a radio broadcast from Italy of April 20, 1943 by one of the greatest American poets, Ezra Pound, discussing the *Protocols*:

“If or when one mentions the Protocols alleged to be of the Elders of Zion, one is frequently met with the reply: Oh, but they are a forgery. Certainly they are a forgery, and that is the one proof we have of their authenticity. The Jews have worked with forged documents for the past 24 hundred years, namely ever since they have had any documents whatsoever. And no one can qualify as a historian of this half century without having examined the Protocols.”

Great Italian philosopher Julius Evola wrote an introduction to an Italian edition of the Protocols” and gave a summary in “Inquadramento del problema ebraico”, an article published in the Italian paper “Bibliografia fascista”, which can be found, with most of the articles he wrote for it from 1934 to 1939, in book *Esplorazioni e disanime*:

“It is naive, in an absolute sense, to put the question of the authenticity of a document such as “The Protocols”, for the simple reason that it is naive to think that, if it exists, an organization such as “The Wise of Sion” would let behind itself writings that could be authenticated. The question of the authenticity must thus be substituted for the question of the veracity, and, then, it is not important anymore to know whether the document is a literary piracy. When it comes to the question of the reality of a plot, does it matter whether the plotters took their inspiration from this or that preexisting document, re-writing it in their own way and committing thus a literary piracy? From this, one can have an idea of the frivolous level on which the problem is put most of the times.”

I submit to you that who wrote the *Protocols* is not the issue. The real issue, the one that never gets talked about, is fact that they are coming to pass!

It is one of the most important documents ever to come to light in the world. The Protocols is a historical document without which the history of the 20th century cannot be understood: it is relevant to World War I, the Bolshevik Revolution and Terror, Nazism, World War II, and the Middle East crisis.

The Protocols can be re-examined in that light, and compared against the historical record. That is the only way to evaluate it.

REMEMBER: The *Protocols* must be STUDIED, not merely read. STUDY them carefully in the light of the recent historical and political events ... then draw your own conclusion to its TRUTH or FORGERY

CONCLUSION

In the interests of keeping this lecture brief, I have provided highlights only. For a more detailed discussion, please refer to the books and Internet sites on that list. I have provided here some tiny amount of the vast amount of circumstantial evidence which indicates that the *Protocols* are simply one of many documents to betray an agenda of world domination which remains unchanged, stretching back through time to its first incarnation as the Book of Deuteronomy — the Second Law as given to Moses by Yahweh. The fact that the Protocols are demonstrably the agenda to which the world's politicians have orchestrated public and secretive maneuvers to bring the world to the brink of a New World Order under a One World Government, is almost impossible to refute.

Well, it would seem that quite a varied assortment of people has a vested interest in keeping us from looking at the *Protocols*, and presumably after reading at least some excerpts from them, you can see why. The variegated voices of the cryptocracy would like you to believe that the *Protocols* are nothing but an Anti-Semitic forgery, and anyone who reads or even speaks of them has a dangerously delusional world view, and is likely to go off at any moment into a xenophobic killing frenzy. What better way to keep people from examining them or even whispering of them? How necessary to keep the populace in abysmal ignorance accompanied by fatuous denial of it. The best way to keep people from the truth is to let them believe they have it (or all they need of it, or that “their truth” is equivalent to “the truth”, or that there isn't any such thing anyway), and to teach them to thoroughly despise anyone who dares to suggest that Truth exists, and that it matters. The *Protocols* have proven themselves to be true for two centuries and are nearing completion, so a mass indoctrination against any who would expose them has to be clamped firmly in place.

The solution is for every man to simply open his eyes to the truth. In regards to the Protocols one need simply look out into the world and see that in every detail this paper is being revealed. The “secret plans” are unfolding for all to see. If a man believes that the Protocols is a hoax, all he needs do is refrain from reading it. If one believes it is authentic, it may give one the answers to the questions that

arise in our society. It may take the mystery out of what is happening to our world. In any case, how would one know whether or not it is authentic unless one first comes to understand what it says?

Time and time again, throughout history, Khazarian Zionists have repeated these same anti-Gentile views, all of which resonate in perfect sympathy with this document, the *Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, so often condemned as a fraud and anti-Semitic. Even if the *Protocols* had never existed, there would be ample proof of the very conspiracy which they document so graphically. **How nonsensical are the cries of “anti-Semitic!” and “fraud!” in relation to the *Protocols*.** The Jews ALWAYS deny anything that makes them look bad. They are currently claiming that the AIPAC spy scandal is the invention of anti-Semites.

The purpose of this lecture was not to analyse a literary hypothesis but to emphasize the fact that since publication of the *Protocols*, world events have unfolded exactly according to their description — surely this should be proof enough that a plan such as the *Protocols* exists?

Everybody should, from cover to cover, read the *Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion*, just to understand why Jews so vehemently protest its authenticity. It is not important whether these documents are real or fake but that what is within their pages is openly happening in our world today...

Our entire civilization is in danger of going down in smoke and chaos. How can we check that cancer that devours slowly all that is beautiful and noble in every nation? How can we save our spiritual inheritance, which is many times more valuable than all material wealth?

No cure is possible as long as we do not understand the cause of our ailment; a correct diagnosis must precede the application of the medicine in order to relieve the sickness which we all observe around us, but do not comprehend.

First of all, it should be clearly realized that the crisis in whose deadly grip we are now overtaken, is not incidental but was carefully prepared by a gang of powerful criminals. No recovery is possible until the tools of destruction are taken away from these nefarious poison mixers of the universe.

The Zionist onslaught seems almost impossible to oppose, when all courageous efforts by men of integrity to inform the world of the Zionist conspiracy fall largely on deaf, and even offended, ears. One can only imagine what kind of a better world we would have today, had the masses rallied in support of those opposing the Zionist conspiracy, rather than condemning them, or opposing them.

The Zionist leader, Chaim Weismann stated back in 1920:

“We told the authorities in London; we shall be in Palestine whether you want us there or not. You may speed up or slow down our coming, but it

would be better for you to help us, otherwise our constructive force will turn into a destructive one that will bring about ferment in the entire world.”
[*Judische Rundschau*, No. 4, 1920, Germany]

...so stated the Jewish banker, Paul Warburg:

“We will have a world government whether you like it or not. The only question is whether that government will be achieved by conquest or consent.” [February 17, 1950, as he testified before the U.S. Senate]

They are persistent, and they are tireless. If we are going to keep on doing this, we can no longer stand by and watch this go on. Speak out! Stand up and be counted! Or lay down and die.

The controlled media treat the American people like mushrooms: Keep 'em in the dark and feed 'em manure! But again, more and more of us “mushroom people” are waking up to the Truth...

And, the Jews themselves... well, they should also awake... because the Jews will never understand anti-Semitism until they realize that it is not always based just on irrational prejudice.